

EUROPEAN LE MANS SERIES
4 Hours of Le Castellet
28th April - 3rd May 2026

Decision no. 58

From: The Stewards
To: AF CORSE

Date: 9 May 2026
Time: 13:14

N° / Competitor: 83 / AF CORSE
Session: Post Race
Reference: Art. 14 of FIA International Sporting Code

1. On 6th May 2026, the Stewards received a petition from A F Corse by email, requesting a Right of Review in accordance with Article 14 of the FIA International Sporting Code ("the Code").
2. The request related to the Decision of the Stewards at the ELMS Le Castellet event contained in document number 93, Stewards' Decision No. 40 (Drive Through penalty for Car 83 plus 2 penalty points for the driver concerned for a breach of Appendix H, Article 2.5.5 b) of the FIA ISC).
3. The Competitor's Representative was summoned via a message on the ELMS Discord channel and a hearing was convened at 19:15 hrs CET on 7th May 2026 at the WEC event at Spa, with the Competitor's Representative and the Stewards Michael Schwaegerl and Peter Roberts present in person. The Stewards Chris Geffroy and Marie Richard were present via Teams.
4. The Stewards of the ELMS at Le Castellet conducted the hearing.
5. This hearing was dedicated to determining if the petition requesting a Right of Review satisfied the requirements of Article 14.1.1 of the FIA ISC (*The period in during which a petition for a review may be brought*) and Article 14.1.3 of the FIA ISC (*The petition for review must be made in writing and must specify the elements as determined under Article 14.1.1 of the Code. It must be accompanied by a deposit*). The deposit was stated in the Appendix 1 of the event, as posted on 30th April 2026, document no. 3).
6. The Stewards confirmed that the petition had been received on 6th May 2026, which was within the time period described in Article 14.1.1 of the FIA ISC. The FFSA confirmed on 7th May 2026 that the correct deposit had been received.
7. The Stewards determined that the petition and deposit had been submitted within the defined time periods and therefore a hearing would be held to consider if "a significant and relevant new element is discovered which was unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned".
8. The Stewards informed the Competitor that the next stage of the hearing would be held the following day (8th May 2026) and that a separate summons would be issued for this hearing.
9. The first stage of the hearing was concluded at approximately 19:25.
10. The decision of the Stewards as to whether or not the petition has been submitted correctly is subject to appeal before the National Court of Appeal or the International Court of Appeal.
11. The Competitor's Representative was summoned (document number 109) and a hearing was convened at 19:20 hrs CET on 8th May 2026 at the WEC event at Spa, with the Competitor's Representative and the Stewards Michael Schwaegerl and Peter Roberts present in person. The Stewards Chris Geffroy and Marie Richard were present via Teams.

12. The Stewards of the ELMS at Le Castellet conducted the hearing.
13. This hearing was dedicated to determining, at the sole discretion of the Stewards (as specified in Article 14.3 of the Code) if “a significant and relevant new element is discovered which was unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned”. In this case, the party was A F Corse and the decision concerned was document 93 issued at 16:45 and posted at 19:01 during the ELMS Le Castellet event.
14. Therefore, the Stewards were required to determine if any evidence presented to them was able to meet the above criteria namely significant, relevant, new and unavailable to the party seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned.
15. A F Corse cited four matters which it regarded as meeting these criteria in its petition, specifically items 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4.
16. A F Corse provided no additional evidence during the hearing but reinforced its position outlined in its written submission.
17. Regarding the documentation submitted, (in particular items 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4) the Stewards determine that at least one of the four items is a significant and relevant new element which was unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned.
18. Therefore, the Petition for the Right of Review is ACCEPTED.
19. The Stewards informed the Competitor that the Technical Delegate, having reviewed the information provided within the petition had informed the Stewards that it would take a period of time to analyse the information, the specific period of time had not been determined at that time.
20. The Stewards concluded this part of the hearing and informed the Competitor that the next stage of the hearing would be convened once the Technical Delegate had concluded his analysis and issued his report to the Stewards.
21. The date and time for the resumption of the hearing would be determined, taking account of the diary availability of all parties.
22. This part of the hearing was concluded at approximately 19:40.
23. In accordance with FIA ISC Art. 14.3, the decision of the Stewards as to whether or not such an element exists is not subject to appeal before the National Court of Appeal or the International Court of Appeal.



Michael Schwägerl
Chairman of the Panel



Peter Roberts
International Steward



Chris Geffroy
International Steward



Marie Richard
National Steward